

## The Cognitive Web

Critical Thinking On the Web

#### Presentation to the Semantic Web Interest Group

November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2002.

Bryan Thompson bryan.b.thompson@verizon.net



© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson



### **Presentation Abstract**

The Cognitive Web is a human-centric web architecture comprised of semantic markup and fuzzy logics designed to support collaborative decision-making, critical thinking and conflict resolution processes. The goal of the Cognitive Web is to extend human decision horizons by compensating for some intrinsic aspects of selective attention.

The Semantic Web is based on Berners-Lee's notion that "semantic" means "machine-processable" – it enables machines to understand the content on the Web. The Cognitive Web is a cognitive and computational model of expert memory – it enables humans to reason about and address issues using a collaborative infrastructure. The Cognitive Web shares much of the Semantic Web infrastructure (XML, semantic models, annotation systems, inference systems), but goes much further. At the same time, there are sharp differences, including a human-centric and fuzzy logic focus in contrast to the machine interchange of digitally signed proofs achieved through crisp logics.

This presentation will explore some of the motivating assumptions of a Cognitive Web and its relationship to the architecture, algorithms, and assumptions of the Semantic Web.

#### The Cognitive Web

Presentation to SWIG, November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2002



### Touchstones

- 1. Externalities
- 2. Decision-horizons
- 3. Focus of Attention
- 4. Enlightened Self-Interest

#### The Cognitive Web

© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson



### Background

 Inspired by research on human cognition, expert decision-making, and computational models of reflexive inference.

#### The Cognitive Web

© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson



## **Contrasting Design Goals**

Critical Thinking On the Web


- Semantic Web
  - (Digital) Web of Trust (among machines).
- Cognitive Web
  - Incorporate externalities by correcting attention bias.
  - Co-opt people within existing workflow.

### The Cognitive Web

© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson




### Semantic Web Architecture



© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson



### **Cognitive Web Architecture**



© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson



### The Semantic Web

- Extension of current web
- Crisp, monotonic machine inference
- Based on digitally signed facts and proofs
  - Focus on agents, agent service discovery, tools for authoring semantic tags, ontology negotiation



### Semantic Web Issues

- Large complex systems with crisp decision boundaries
  - are fragile; and
  - lacks feedback dynamics to evolve and maintain coherent systems
- Where does that nice crisp information come from?
- What use is incomplete, unreliable or conflicting information?



# The Cognitive Web

- Extension of current web
- System of Systems
  - Users, machines, content, channels
  - Users are source of models and values
- An extension of the human mind
  - Like paper and writing
  - Facilitates sharing and recall of experience
  - Identifying and exploring difference



# Cognitive Web Algorithms

- Non-monotonic, fuzzy inference
- Maintained by users
- Facilitated by computational systems
- Translates worldly objects and events into support for and against positions on issues



### Normative decision theory

- The normative model requires
  - exhaustive generation of outcomes; or
  - comparison of alternatives
- Yet experienced decision makers
  - few instances of analytical processes, and
  - a wealth of instances in which experts attempt to create and verify coherent *stories*.

Based on research reported by Cognitive Technologies, Inc.

#### The Cognitive Web

© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson



# Underlying models

- Reflexive recognitional processes
  - Causal world models that synthesize
  - *Stories* as coherent recognitional products.
- Strategies for effectively
  - facilitating recognition
  - verifying its results, and
  - constructing more adequate models when recognition fails
  - reasoning with argument models

Based on research reported by Cognitive Technologies, Inc.

The Cognitive Web

© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson



### Reasoning from evidence

#### U.N. Warns of African AIDS Toll

*By David Brown* Washington Post Staff Writer Wednesday, June 28, 2000; Page A01


AIDS will cause early death in as many as onehalf of the young adults in the hardest-hit countries of southern Africa, causing population imbalances nearly without precedent, according to a report released by the United Nations yesterday.

The AIDS epidemic is already measurably eroding economic development, educational attainment and child survival--all key measures of national health--in much of sub-Saharan Africa. The disease's ultimate toll on the region... The Cognitive Web




### Argument Structure

- Integrates all *evidence* supporting the argument, *rebuttals* for the argument, and the *conclusions* claimed by the argument.
- User may modify the argument, seek to reconcile variations in the argument, e.g., contributed different people.
- The basis for the argument is captured in its *rationale*.



Based on research reported by Cognitive Technologies, Inc.

© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson



© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson




### Architectural components

- Associative memory
  - textual search within topic systems (XML Topic Maps)
- Interpretive memory
  - fuzzy inference over causal and argument models (Toulmin / IBIS)
- Critical thinking support
  - feedback loops to monitor and correct lines of reasoning



Web

## Notional Component Arch.



© 2002 by Bryan B. Thompson



### Acknowledgements

• Inspiration is due to the work of many, including the founders and members of GlobalWisdom, the Global Brain project, and the members of the XML Topic Map standards body. Similar issues are being explored by Nexist.Org and Bootstrap.Org.

#### The Cognitive Web

Presentation to SWIG, November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2002